QUIC in Space

Draft-huitema-quic-in-space

Motivation and early results

- Marc asked me, could QUIC work on long delay space links?
 - Define long delay, define space. The Moon is easy!
 - No, not the Moon, more like Mars, one way delays between a few minutes and 20 minutes?
- Quickly whipped up a few simulations. It works!
 - Need only on parameter, the maximum duration of the handshake
 - If the handshake succeeds, the RTT gets configured, and "everything" works
- Really? Define "everything"!
 - Planets rotate! Intermittent connectivity, 12 hours on, 12 hours off?
 - Do we need to change congestion control?
 - What else?

Intermittent connectivity

- Suppose a station on Mars.
 - Mars day in about 24 hour 39 min
 - Station will only see Earth for about 12 hours each day.
- Does it work? Kinda, not well
 - Use timeout to discover breakage
 - QUIC doubles the timeout after each repeat attempt (RFC 9002)
 - Eventually something arrives...
 - But way after start of the new "sol"
- TODO: solve that
 - Limit exponential backoff?
 - Get external signal?

| | | Timeout | Time elapsed |
|-------|-----------|---------|--------------|
| RTT | Iteration | (s) | (hours) |
| 12000 | 0 | 1200 | 0.33 |
| 12000 | 1 | 1200 | 0.67 |
| 12000 | 2 | 2400 | 1.33 |
| 12000 | 3 | 4800 | 2.67 |
| 12000 | 4 | 9600 | 5.33 |
| 12000 | 5 | 19200 | 10.67 |
| 12000 | 6 | 38400 | 21.33 |
| 12000 | 7 | 76800 | 42.67 |

Do we need long duration connections?

- Connection contexts will be lost
 - Especially if end-to-end between workstation and space craft
 - Workstation might loose power, reboot, perform software update...
- QUIC deployments rely on fast connection resume
 - After long silence, state of connection is dubious
 - Better to just restart
- Might help with intermittent connectivity
 - Workstation knows the time when connectivity is restored
 - Can schedule "resumed" connection at that time
- Need work: support for O-RTT, remember BDP, etc.

What about congestion control

- Tests show BBR works as expected
 - Everything just scales with the RTT
 - Only time constant is the 10 second "probe RTT" period
 - But it is easy to tune that, e.g. "max of 10 seconds, 10 RTT"
- RENO plain does not work
 - Congestion avoidance phase, CWIN grows at most one packet per RTT
 - Way too slow for deep space
- Cubic also does not work, for complex reasons
 - Pseudo period "K" depends on Wmax, does not depend on RTT
 - K = cubic_root((Wmax cwnd(epoch))/C),
 - Wmax = BDP, cwnd = 0.7 Wmax, C = 0.4

Cubic to Mars: K lower than RTT !

| | | Packet size | RTT | Data rate | BDP | К |
|-----|------|-------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| С | Beta | (bytes) | (sec) | (Mbps) | (packets) | (sec) |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 0.1 | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 0.1 | 10 | 83 | 4 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 2 | 1 | 167 | 5 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 2 | 10 | 1667 | 11 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 2 | 100 | 16667 | 23 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 600 | 1 | 50000 | 33 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 600 | 10 | 500000 | 72 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 600 | 100 | 500000 | 155 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 1200 | 0.1 | 10000 | 20 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 1200 | 1 | 100000 | 42 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 1200 | 10 | 1000000 | 91 |
| 0.4 | 0.7 | 1500 | 1200 | 100 | 1000000 | 196 |

- Cubic formula:
 - $W = C^*(t-K)^3 Wmax$
- After 1200 seconds, with K=196:
 - W = 41.5 * Wmax
- Most likely:
 - All buffers filled before any feedback arrives
 - Massive packet losses

Next steps

- Investigate the "intermittent link" issues
 - Build simulations, tune delays, etc.
 - Model possible "network support", or maybe "wake on packet"
- Investigate "QUIC resume"
 - Does 0-RTT work, how long to ramp up CWIND, etc.
- Look at other issues, e.g., variable delays
- Do end to end simulation with realistic apps